

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2280 OF 2005

Shri Suresh N. Shah ...Petitioner

Versus

Shri. Laxman Sitaram Hange & Anr ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Madhav Jamdar, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. R.S.Khadapkar, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 6TH OCTOBER, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for quashing of process issued against him in Criminal complaint No. 1082 of 2005 which is pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C. Court No.6, Pune. In the said case, process has been issued against the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K. Jain & Ors. Vs. Pratap Vs. Padode and Anr**, reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778, in my opinion, the petitioner has an efficacious

remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of four weeks from today. I am informed that the date before the trial court is on 11.10.2005. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial on 11.10.2005 and thereafter upto 07.11.2005.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of.
